arXiv:1410.8110v1 [math.NT] 29 Oct 2014

Dyadic Torsion of 2-Dimensional Hyperelliptic Jacobians

Jeffrey Yelton

October 30, 2014

Abstract

Let k be a field of characteristic 0, and let α_1, α_2, ... , α_5 be algebraically independent and transcendental over k. Let K be the transcendental extension of k obtained by adjoining the elementary symmetric functions of the α_i's. Let J be the Jacobian of the hyperelliptic curve defined over K which is given by the equation $y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{5}(x - \alpha_i)$. We define a tower of field extensions $K = K_0' \subset K_1' \subset K_2' \subset ...$ by giving recursive formulas for the generators of each K_n' over K_{n-1}', and let $K_\infty' = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n'$. We show that $K_\infty'(\mu_2)$ is the subextension of the field $K(J[2^\infty]) := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} K(E[2^n])$ corresponding to a central order-2 Galois subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(K(J[2^\infty])/K(\mu_2))$, and a generator of $K(J[2^\infty])$ over $K_\infty'(\mu_2)$ is given.

1 Main definitions and results

Let k be a field of characteristic 0. Let K be the transcendental extension of k obtained by adjoining the coefficients of the quintic polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^{5}(x - \alpha_i)$, where the α_i's are independent and transcendental over k. Fix an algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of K. Suppose that C is a smooth projective model of an affine hyperelliptic curve over K given by the Weierstrass equation

$$y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{5}(x - \alpha_i). \tag{1}$$

Write J for the Jacobian of C, which is also defined over K. For any integer $n \geq 0$; let $J[2^n]$ be the subgroup of $J(\bar{K})$ of 2^n-torsion points; and let K_n be the extension of K over which they are defined. (Note that $K_0 = K$.) Further, denote by $J[2^\infty]$ the subgroup of all 2-power torsion points and denote by K_∞ the minimal (algebraic) extension of K over which they are defined.

Let T be a 15-regular rooted tree; let $|T|$ denote the set of vertices of T; and let $v_0 \in |T|$ denote the root vertex. Since T is a tree, one may define the "distance" between two vertices in $|T|$ to be the number of edges in a simple path connecting them. For any integer $n \geq 0$, let $|T|_n$ (respectively $|T|_{\leq n}$, $T_{\geq n}$)

denote the subset of vertices of T which are of distance n (respectively $\leq n$, $\geq n$) from the root v_0. The fact that T is a tree also implies that each vertex $v \in |T|_n$ for $n \geq 1$ has exactly one "parent", that is, a unique vertex $\tilde{v} \in |T|_{n-1}$ of distance 1 from v.

Consider the set $\mathcal{R}$ consisting of all ordered triples (R_1, R_2, R_3), where the R_i's are pairwise disjoint (unordered) 2-element subsets of $\mathbb{P}^1_{\bar{K}}$. We say that two such triples $(R_1, R_2, R_3), (S_1, S_2, S_3) \in \mathcal{R}$ are *permutation equivalent* if there is a permutation σ on $\{1, 2, 3\}$ such that $S_i = R_{\sigma(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ be the set of permutation equivalence classes of such triples; we will write $[(R_1, R_2, R_3)] \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ for the equivalence class of a triple (R_1, R_2, R_3). If $R = [(R_1, R_2, R_3)] \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$, let $|R| := R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^1_{\bar{K}}$; clearly this set has cardinality 6 and is well-defined regardless of the choice of representative for R.

Define $M : \mathcal{R} \to M_3(\bar{K})$ to be the map which sends (R_1, R_2, R_3) $= (\{r_{1,1}, r_{1,2}\}, \{r_{2,1}, r_{2,2}\}, \{r_{3,1}, r_{3,2}\}) \in \mathcal{R}$ to the 3-by-3 matrix $M(R)$ with entries in $\bar{K}$ defined as follows. If $\infty \notin R_i$, then the ith row of $M(R)$ is $(r_{i,1}r_{i,2}, -(r_{i,1} + r_{i,2}), 1)$. If $\infty \in R_i$ and we assume without loss of generality that $r_{i,2} = \infty$, then the ith row of $M(R)$ is $(-r_{i,1}, 1, 0)$.

Let $U \subset M_3(\bar{K})$ be the subset of matrices $A = (A_{i,j})$ such that $A_{i,3}x^2 + A_{i,2}x + A_{i,1} \in \bar{K}[x]$ is a squarefree polynomial of degree 1 or 2 for each i, and such that $A_{i,3} = 0$ for at most one $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Note that $M(\mathcal{R}) \subset U$. Now define $N : U \to \mathcal{R}$ as follows. Let $A = (A_{i,j})$ be a matrix in U. Then if $A_{i,3} \neq 0$, let R_i be the set of roots of the (squarefree) quadratic polynomial $A_{i,3}x^2 + A_{i,2}x + A_{i,1} \in \bar{K}[x]$, and if $A_{i,3} = 0$, let $R_i = \{-A_{i,1}/A_{i,2}, \infty\}$. It is easy to check that $N \circ M$ is the identity function on $\mathcal{R}$.

For any matrix $A \in M_3(\bar{K})$, let

$$A^\vee = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{adj}(A),$$

where $\mathrm{adj}(A) := \det(A)A^{-1}$ denotes the adjugate of A.

If $(R_1, R_2, R_3) \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $M((R_1, R_2, R_3))^\vee \in M(\mathcal{R})$, then we assign $\mathrm{Ri}((R_1, R_2, R_3)) = N(M((R_1, R_2, R_3))^\vee)$. It turns out (see Proposition 1.2(b) below) that $\mathrm{Ri}((R_1, R_2, R_3))$ is defined for any $(R_1, R_2, R_3) \in \mathcal{R}$. Moreover, this map Ri clearly respects permutation equivalence, so it descends to a map $\mathrm{Ri} : \bar{\mathcal{R}} \to \bar{\mathcal{R}}$, which we call the *Richelot operator* on $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$.

Definition 1.1. *A decoration on the 15-regular tree T is a map $\Psi : |T|_{\geq 1} \to \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ with the following properties:*

a) For any two distinct vertices $w, w' \in |T|_{\geq 1}$ with the same parent vertex, $\Psi(w) \neq \Psi(w')$.

b) For any vertex $w \in |T|_1$, $|\Psi(w)| = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5 \cup \{\infty\}$.

c) For any vertex $w \in |T|_{\geq 2}$, $|\Psi(w)| = |\mathrm{Ri}(\Psi(\tilde{w}))|$ but $\Psi(w) \neq \mathrm{Ri}(\Psi(\tilde{w}))$.

The following proposition shows that there exists a decoration on T.

Proposition 1.2. *Let Ψ be a decoration on T. Then we have the following:*

a) There are exactly 15 *permutation equivalence classes* $R \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ *with the property that* $|R| = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5 \cup \{\infty\}$.

b) The Richelot operator Ri *is defined for all permutation classes in* $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ *(in particular,* $\mathrm{Ri}(\Psi(w))$ *is defined for any* $w \in |T|_{\geq 1}$*).*

c) For any $w \in |T|_{\geq 1}$*, up to permutation equivalence, there are exactly* 14 *permutation equivalence classes* $R \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ *such that* $|R| = |\mathrm{Ri}(\Psi(w))|$ *but* $R \neq \mathrm{Ri}(\Psi(w))$.

Remark 1.3. Proposition 1.2 implies that a decoration exists via the following argument. For each $N \geq 1$, define F_N to be the set of all functions $\Psi : |T|_{\leq N} \setminus \{v_0\} \to \bar{K}$ which satisfy Definition 1.1 for $v \in |T|_{\leq N} \setminus \{v_0\}$. Clearly, each F_N is finite, and for each $N < N'$, there is a map $F_{N'} \to F_N$ by restriction, so it will suffice to show that each F_N is nonempty. Part (a) implies that F_1 is nonempty, and parts (b) and (c) show that if F_N is nonempty, then so is F_{N+1}; thus, F_N is nonempty for all N as desired.

Proof. Note that there are exactly $\frac{1}{3!}\binom{6}{2}\binom{4}{2}\binom{2}{2} = 15$ partitions of 6 objects into 3 pairs. It immediately follows that if S is any element of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$, there are 15 partitions of the 6 elements of $|S|$ into 3 pairs, and thus, there are 15 permutation equivalence classes R such that $|R| = |S|$. Part (a) follows from the fact that $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5 \cup \{\infty\}$ is a set of 6 objects. Let (R_1, R_2, R_3) be a representative of a permutation equivalence class in $R \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$, and let $A = M((R_1, R_2, R_3))$. Then the polynomial $\prod_{i=1}^3 (A_{i,3}x^2 + A_{i,2}x + A_{i,1}) \in \bar{K}[x]$ is squarefree and has degree 5 or 6. By Lemma 8.4.2 of [5], $\prod_{i=1}^3 ((A^\vee)_{i,3}x^2 + (A^\vee)_{i,2}x + (A^\vee)_{i,1}) \in \bar{K}[x]$ is also squarefree of degee 5 or 6. Then $A^\vee \in U$, so $\mathrm{Ri}(R) = N(A^\vee)$ is defined, thus proving part (b). In particular, for any $w \in |T|_{\geq 1}$, $\mathrm{Ri}(\Psi(w))$ is defined and $|\mathrm{Ri}(\Psi(w))| \subset \mathbb{P}^1_{\bar{K}}$ has cardinality 6. Part (c) then follows from the same combinatorial argument as was used to prove (a). □

If $R = [(R_1, R_2, R_3)] \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$, let $K(R)$ be the extension of K obtained by adjoining all entries of $M((R_1, R_2, R_3))$. Note that if two elements of $\mathcal{R}$ are permutation equivalent, their images under M are equivalent up to permutation of the rows. Thus, $K(R)$ depends only on $R \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ and not on the choice of representative of the permutation equivalence class (it is in fact the extension of K fixed by all Galois automorphisms in $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ which fix R under the obvious Galois action).

Fix a compatible system $\{\zeta_{2^n}\}_{n\geq 0}$ of 2^n-th roots of unity. For any extension field L of K, let $L(\mu_2) = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty L(\zeta_{2^n})$.

We write $\rho_2 : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \to \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(T_2(J))$ for the continuous homomorphism induced by the natural Galois action on $T_2(E)$, and denote its image by G. Similarly, for any integer $n \geq 0$, we write $\bar{\rho}_2^{(n)} : \mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K) \to \mathrm{GL}(J[2^n])$ for the homomorphism induced by the natural Galois action on $E[2^n]$, and denote its image by $\bar{G}^{(n)}$. Let $G(n)$ denote the kernel of the natural surjection $G \twoheadrightarrow \bar{G}^{(n)}$; it is the image under ρ_2 of the normal subgroup $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_n) \lhd \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Note that $G(0) = G$.

It follows from the Galois equivariance of the Weil pairing that G is contained in the corresponding group of sympectic similitudes

$$\mathrm{GSp}(T_2(J)) := \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_2(J)) \mid e_2(P^\sigma, Q^\sigma) = \chi_2(\sigma) e_2(P,Q)\ \forall P, Q \in T_2(J)\},$$

where $e_2 : T_2(J) \times T_2(J) \to \varprojlim \mu_{2^n} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ is the Weil pairing on the 2-adic Tate module of J (with respect to the canonical principal polarization of the Jacobian J), and $\chi_2 : G_K \to \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$ is the cyclotomic character on the absolute Galois group of K. Note that, due to the Galois equivariance of the Weil pairing, the image under ρ_2 of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K(\mu_2))$ coincides with $G \cap \mathrm{Sp}(T_2(J))$, where

$$\mathrm{Sp}(T_2(J)) := \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_2(J)) \mid e_2(P^\sigma, Q^\sigma) = e_2(P,Q)\ \forall P, Q \in T_2(J)\}$$

is the corresponding symplectic group. The main theorem of [6] implies that G contains the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(2) \lhd \mathrm{Sp}(T_2(J))$ consisting of symplectic automorphisms which are congruent to the identity modulo 2. We write $-1 \in \Gamma(2) \subset G$ for the scalar automorphism which acts on $T_2(J)$ as multiplication by -1.

Now we may state the main result.

Theorem 1.4. *With notation as above, let Ψ be a decoration on T. Set*

$$K'_\infty := K(\{\Psi(v)\}_{v \in |T| \setminus \{v_0\}}).$$

a) Choose $i, j \in \{1,2,3,4,5\}$ with $i \neq j$, and choose an element $\sqrt{\alpha_i - \alpha_j} \in \bar{K}$ whose square is $\alpha_i - \alpha_j$. Then we have

$$K_\infty = K'_\infty(\sqrt{\alpha_i - \alpha_j})(\mu_2).$$

b) The Galois automorphism whose image under ρ_2 is the scalar matrix $-1 \in \Gamma(2)$ acts on K_∞ by fixing $K'_\infty(\mu_2)$ and sending $\sqrt{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}$ to $-\sqrt{\alpha_i - \alpha_j}$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq 6$, $i \neq j$.

An outline of this paper is as follows. In §2, we define a well-known isogeny of Jacobian surfaces, and in §3, we give a natural interpretation of the tree T in terms of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattices contained in $T_2(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_2$. Finally, §4 is dedicated to a proof of Theorem 1.4 using the machinery established in §2, 3.

2 The Richelot isogeny

We define the Richelot isogeny following [5] (see also [2]). Let K be as in §1. The statements of all results in this section assume that the ground field is K, although all results remain true for any algebraic extension of K. If $f \in K[x]$ is a squarefree polynomial of degree 5 or 6 and $f = G_1 G_2 G_3$ with each $G_i \in K[x]$ a linear or quadratic polynomial, we will refer to the triple (G_1, G_2, G_3) as a *quadratic splitting* (of $f = G_1 G_2 G_3$). (Note that if (G_1, G_2, G_3) is a

quadratic splitting, at most one of the G_i's is linear.) For any quadratic splitting (G_1, G_2, G_3), where each $G_i = G_{i3}x^2 + G_{i2}x + G_{i1}$ with each $G_{ij} \in K$, let G be the matrix in $M_3(\bar{K})$ whose (i,j)th entry is G_{ij}.

Now for any quadratic splitting (G_1, G_2, G_3), for $i = 1, 2, 3$, if G_i is quadratic, let R_{G_i} be the set of roots of G_i, and if G_i is linear, let $R_{G_i} = \{\alpha, \infty\}$ where α is the zero of G_i. Then $(R_{G_1}, R_{G_2}, R_{G_3})$ is clearly an element of $\mathcal{R}$.

For two polynomials $G_1, G_2 \in K[x]$, we denote $[G_1, G_2] = G_1G_2' - G_2G_1'$, where the ' symbol indicates the derivative. If (G_1, G_2, G_3) is a quadratic splitting, write $\mathrm{Ri}((G_1, G_2, G_3)) = (H_1, H_2, H_3)$, where $H_i = [G_{i+1}, G_{i+2}]$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (here we are treating i as an element of $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$), and we say that $\mathrm{Ri}((G_1, G_2, G_3))$ is the *Richelot isogenous* quadratic splitting. The next proposition shows that applying Ri to a quadratic splitting is compatible to applying Ri to the corresponding element of $\mathcal{R}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let (G_1, G_2, G_3) be a quadratic splitting; let $(H_1, H_2, H_3) = \mathrm{Ri}(G_1, G_2, G_3)$; and assume the above notation. Then we have $(R_{H_1}, R_{H_2}, R_{H_3}) = \mathrm{Ri}((R_{G_1}, R_{G_2}, R_{G_3})) \in \mathcal{R}$. In particular, $(R_{H_1}, R_{H_2}, R_{H_3})$ is an element of $\mathcal{R}$, or equivalently, $H_1H_2H_3$ is squarefree of degree 5 or 6.*

Proof. Part (a) is straightforward to check through computation, in particular, by proving the identity $H = G^\vee$. The fact that $H_1H_2H_3$ is squarefree of degree 5 or 6 follows from part (a) and Proposition 1.2(b) and is the statement of Lemma 8.4.2 in [5] in any case.

□

Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a polynomial of degree 5 or 6 without multiple roots. Let C be a smooth projective model of the affine hyperelliptic curve over K given by the equation $y^2 = f(x)$, and let J be its Jacobian. Let $B \subset \mathbb{P}^1_{\bar{K}}$ be the subset consisting of the x-coordinates of the branch points of C, where the x-coordinate of a point at infinity is $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1_{\bar{K}}$. Thus, if f has degree d and has roots $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^d$, then $B = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^d \cup \{\infty\}$ if $d = 5$ and $B = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^d$ if $d = 6$.

Since J is the Jacobian of a curve, it is endowed with a canonical principal polarization. As in §1, let $e_2 : T_2(J) \times T_2(J) \to \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mu_{2^n}$ denote the Weil pairing on $T_2(J)$ determined by this polarization. For each $n \geq 0$, let $\bar{e}_2^{(n)} : J[2^n] \times J[2^n] \to \mu_{2^n}$ denote the corresponding Weil pairing on $J[2^n]$. A subgroup $N < J[2^n]$ is said to be *Weil isotropic* if it is orthogonal with respect to the Weil pairing $\bar{e}_2^{(n)}$; that is, N is Weil isotropic if $\bar{e}_2^{(n)}(P, Q) = 1$ for any $P, Q \in N$. Such a subgroup $N < J[2^n]$ is said to be *maximal Weil isotropic* if it is not properly contained in any other Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2^n]$.

We define a correspondence between the maximal Weil isotropic subgroups of $J[2]$ and the permutation equivalence classes $R \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ with $|R| = B$ as follows. It is known (III(a) §2 Corollary 2.11 of [**?**]) that each element of $J[2]$ is represented by a divisor of the form $e_U := \sum_{\alpha \in U}(\alpha, 0) - \#U \cdot (\infty)$, where $U \subseteq B$ has even cardinality, and conversely, any such divisor e_U represents an element of $J[2]$. Moreover, for two such subsets $U, U' \subseteq B$, e_U and $e_{U'}$ are equivalent in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)$ if and only if $U = U'$ or $U = B \setminus U'$. Thus, any element of $J[2]$ is represented

uniquely by a divisor of the form e_U, where $U \subset B$ has cardinality 0 or 2. By slight abuse of notation, if $U \subset B$ has cardinality 0 or 2, we will consider e_U to be an element of $J[2]$. Note that with this notation, the trivial element of $J[2]$ is $e_\varnothing$. It is also shown in [**?**], III(a) §6 that two elements $e_U, e_{U'} \in J[2]$ are Weil isotropic if and only if $U \cap U' = \varnothing$. Thus, if $N < J[2]$ is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup, then $N = \langle e_U, e_{U'} \rangle$, for some $U, U' \subset B$ each of cardinality 2 and $U \cap U' = \varnothing$. Then $N = \{e_\varnothing, e_U, e_{U'}, e_{U''}\}$, where $U'' = B \setminus (U \cup U')$. Thus, $[(U, U', U'')] \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ with $|(U, U', U'')| = U \cup U' \cup U'' = B$, and the equivalence class $[(U, U', U'')]$ is uniquely determined by N. Conversely, any equivalence class $[(R_1, R_2, R_3)] \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ with $|[(R_1, R_2, R_3)]| = R_1 \cup R_2 \cup R_3 = B$ determines a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2]$ given by $\{e_\varnothing, e_{R_1}, e_{R_2}, e_{R_3}\}$. This defines the correspondence.

The following theorem, which states the existence of Richelot isogenies, is proven in [5], §8.4.

Theorem 2.2. *Assuming all of the above notations, let (G_1, G_2, G_3) be a quadratic splitting of the polynomial $f(x) \in K[x]$, so that $R_G := [(R_{G_1}, R_{G_2}, R_{G_3})] \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ with $|R_G| = B$. Let N be the maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2]$ corresponding to R_G. Assume that $\det(G) \neq 0$. Let C be a smooth projective model of the affine hyperelliptic curve defined over K given by*

$$y^2 = Df(x), \tag{2}$$

where $D \in K$, and let J be its Jacobian. Let C' be a smooth projective model of the affine hyperelliptic curve, defined over $K(R_G)$, given by

$$y^2 = \det(G)^{-1} D H_1 H_2 H_3, \tag{3}$$

where $(H_1, H_2, H_3) = \mathrm{Ri}(G_1, G_2, G_3)$, and let J' be its Jacobian. Then

a) there is an isogeny $\psi : J \to J'$ (defined over $K(R_G)$) whose kernel is N; and

b) the image of $J[2]$ under ψ is the maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J'[2]$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Ri}(R_G)$.

The isogeny $\psi : J \to J'$ in the above theorem is known as the *Richelot isogeny* corresponding to N, and C' (resp. J') is often referred to as the *Richelot isogenous* curve (resp. Jacobian). This Richelot isogeny ψ is given explicitly in [1] §3 as follows. Any divisor class in $\mathrm{Pic}^0(C)$ can be represented by a divisor of the form $(x, y) - (\alpha, 0)$, with $\alpha \in R_{G_1}$. We set $\psi([(x, y) - (\alpha, 0)]) = [(z_1, t_1) - (z_2, -t_2)]$, where z_1 and z_2 are the roots of the polynomial in $G_2(x)H_2(z) + G_3(x)H_3(z) \in F[z]$, and

$$y t_i = \det(G)^{-1} G_2(x) H_2(z_i)(x - z_i) \tag{4}$$

for $i = 1, 2$.

Remark 2.3. If (G_1, G_2, G_3) is a quadratic splitting of $f(x)$ and C and J are defined as above, and if $\det(G) = 0$ then J is isogenous to the product of two elliptic curves (see, for instance, Chapter 14 of [2]). If $(H_1, H_2, H_3) = \mathrm{Ri}((G_1, G_2, G_3))$, then $\det(H) = 2\det(G)^2$, so $\det(H) = 0$ also.

3 Description of T via $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattices

As usual, let

$$T_2(J) = \lim_{\leftarrow n} J[2^n]$$

denote the 2-adic Tate module of J; it is a free $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module of rank 4. Let

$$V_2(J) = T_2(J) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_2.$$

Then $V_2(J)$ is a 4-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}_2$ which contains the rank-4 $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattice $T_2(J)$. Clearly, $\mathbb{Q}_2^\times$ acts upon the set of all rank-4 $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattices in $V_2(J)$ as follows: for any such lattice Λ and any $a \in \mathbb{Q}_2^\times$, then $a\Lambda := \{a\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$, which is also a rank-4 $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattice in $V_2(E)$. Now let $\mathcal{L}$ be the set of equivalence classes of such lattices, where two lattices Λ and Λ' are equivalent if there exists $a \in \mathbb{Q}_2^\times$ such that $a\Lambda = \Lambda'$. The equivalence class in $\mathcal{L}$ of a lattice Λ will be denoted $[\Lambda]$.

We will often use "$<$", "$\leq$", etc. to indicate inclusion of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattices inside $V_2(J)$, or to indicate inclusion of subgroups of $J[\bar{K}]$. From now on, let $\Lambda_0 = T_2(J)$.

Proposition 3.1. *a) Each element of $\mathcal{L}$ is represented uniquely by a lattice which contains Λ_0 but doesn't contain $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0$.*

b) There is a bijection between elements of $\mathcal{L}$ and finite subgroups of $J[2^\infty]$ which do not contain all of $J[2]$.

Proof. Let Λ be any rank-4 $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattice in $V_2(J)$. Consider the sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattices $\{2^{-n}\Lambda \cap \Lambda_0\}_{n=0}^\infty$. Each lattice $2^{-n}\Lambda \cap \Lambda_0$ is an open subgroup of Λ_0 (in the 2-adic topology); moreover, since $\cup_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n}\Lambda = V_2(J)$, this sequence of lattices forms an open cover of Λ_0. But Λ_0 is compact, so this cover has a finite subcover. It follows that for some $N \geq 0$, $2^{-N}\Lambda \geq \Lambda_0$. Now there must be a maximal $M \geq 0$ such that $2^{-N}\Lambda \geq 2^{-M}\Lambda_0$, or else $2^{-N}\Lambda \geq \cup_{n=0}^\infty 2^{-n}\Lambda_0 = V_2(J)$, which is impossible. Then $2^{M-N}\Lambda$ contains Λ but not $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda$, and the first part of (a) follows from the fact that $[2^{M-N}\Lambda] = [\Lambda]$. To prove uniqueness, suppose that Λ' and Λ are two lattices in the same class which each contain Λ_0 but not $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0$. Then $\Lambda' = a\Lambda$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}_2^\times$. Let $v_2(a)$ be the 2-adic valuation of a. If $v_2(a) > 0$, then $a\Lambda$ does not contain Λ_0, which is a contradiction, and if $v_2(a) < 0$, then $a\Lambda$ contains $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0$, which is a contradiction. Thus, $v_2(a) = 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_2^\times$, so $\Lambda' = a\Lambda = \Lambda$, and part (a) is proved.

To prove (b), it suffices by (a) to construct a bijection between the set of lattices Λ which contain Λ_0 but not $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0$ and the set of finite subgroups of $J[2^\infty]$ which do not contain all of $J[2^n]$ for any $n \geq 1$. Let Λ be a lattice containing Λ_0 but not $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0$. Then Λ/Λ_0 is clearly a finite $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module. Choose $n \geq 0$ such that 2^n kills Λ/Λ_0. Then clearly $2^n\Lambda_0 \leq 2^n\Lambda \leq \Lambda_0$, so we may identify $\Lambda/\Lambda_0 \cong 2^n\Lambda/2^n\Lambda_0$ with a subgroup of $\Lambda_0/2^n\Lambda_0$. But $\Lambda_0 = T_2(J)$, and $T_2(J)/2^nT_2(J)$ is naturally identified with $J[2^n]$, so we may identify $N := \Lambda/\Lambda_0$ with a subgroup of $J[2^n] < J[2^\infty]$. The fact that Λ does not contain $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0$ implies that N does not contain $J[2]$. Conversely, let N be a finite subgroup

of $J[2^\infty]$ which does not contain $J[2]$. Then N is a subgroup of $J[2^n]$ for some $n \geq 0$, and furthermore, it has an obvious $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module structure. By the inverse limit definition of $T_2(J)$, a subgroup of $J[2^n]$ lifts uniquely to a subgroup M of finite index of $T_2(J)$ which contains $2^n T_2(J)$, and such that there is a canonical isomorphism $M/2^n T_2(J) \cong N$ which respects the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-module structure. It is clear that M is a sublattice of $T_2(J)$. Let $\Lambda = 2^{-n}M$. Then there are natural isomorphisms of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-modules

$$\Lambda/T_2(J) \cong 2^n\Lambda/2^n T_2(J) \cong M/2^n T_2(J) \cong N. \tag{5}$$

By construction, this Λ is uniquely determined by N. Moreover, the fact that N does not contain $J[2]$ implies that Λ does not contain $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0$. □

We define a graph S whose vertices form a subset of $\mathcal{L}$ as follows. Let the set of vertices $|S|$ be all elements of Λ corresponding under the bijection given by Proposition 3.1 to maximal Weil isotropic subgroups $N < J[2^n]$ which do not contain $J[2]$ for some $n \geq 0$. Two vertices in $|S|$ are connected by an edge if they can be written as $[\Lambda]$ and $[\Lambda']$, where $\Lambda < \Lambda'$ and $\Lambda'/\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that this relation is symmetric, so the edge set of this graph is well-defined. Set $v_0 = [\Lambda_0] \in |S|$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a free module of (finite) even rank $2m$ over a finite commutative ring Σ, equipped with a nondegenerate alternating bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M \times M \to \Sigma$. Then a maximal isotropic submodule of M is maximal isotropic if and only if it has cardinality $|\Sigma|^m$.*

Proof. Let N be an isotropic submodule of M, and let $N^\perp = \{m \in M \mid \langle m, n \rangle = 0 \ \forall n \in N\}$. Since N is isotropic, $N \subseteq N^\perp$. Clearly, N is a maximal isotropic submodule if and only if $N = N^\perp$; thus, it suffices to show that $|N^\perp| = |M|/|N| = |\Sigma|^{2m}/|N|$.

Define a map from M to its dual space M^* given by $m \mapsto \langle \cdot, m \rangle$. Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is nondegenerate, this is an isomorphism. Moreover, it maps $N^\perp$ to the submodule $\{\alpha \in M^* \mid \alpha(N) = 0\} \cong (M/N)^*$, which has cardinality $|M/N|$. Thus, $N^\perp$ has cardinality equal to $|M|/|N|$, as desired.

□

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a vector space of dimension 4 over $\mathbb{F}_2$, equipped with a nondegenerate alternating bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : M \times M \to \mathbb{F}_2$. Then there are exactly 15 maximal isotropic subspaces of M.*

Proof. This is well known and can be proved using elementary methods, for instance, choosing a symplectic basis of M and checking all subspaces of dimension 2. Alternately, one can prove the lemma in the case of $M = J[2]$ by noting that, as in the proof of Proposition 1.2(a), there are exactly 15 permutation equivalence class representatives $R \in \mathcal{R}$ with $|R| = B$, which are in one-to-one correspondence with the maximal Weil isotropic subgroups of $J[2]$ as in §2.

□

The following is well known (see, for instance, [3], Chapter V, Theorem 16.4 combined with Proposition 16.8).

Lemma 3.4. *Let J be the Jacobian of a curve, whose principal polarization determines a Weil pairing $e_2 : T_2(J) \times T_2(J) \to \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mu_{2^n}$. Let J' be another abelian variety, and let $\phi : J \to J'$ be an isogeny whose kernel is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2]$. Then J' has a principal polarization which determines a Weil pairing $e_2' : T_2(J') \times T_2(J') \to \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mu_{2^n}$, and $e_2'(\phi(P), \phi(Q)) = e_2(P, Q)^2$ for all $P, Q \in J[2^\infty]$.*

Proposition 3.5. *With the above definition, S is a connected,* 15*-regular graph.*

Proof. Below, for $n \geq 1$ and any subgroup of $N < J[2^n]$, considered as a $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$-module, the *rank* of N is defined to be the dimension of $N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}_2$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$.

We first show that S is connected by proving that for any vertex $v \in |S|$, there is a path from v to the root v_0. This is trivially true for $v = v_0$. For any vertex $v \in |S|$, let N_v be the subgroup corresponding to it in the definition of S, and let $m(v)$ be the (unique) integer such that $N_v < J[2^{m(v)}]$ is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup not containing $J[2]$. Choose any vertex $v \neq v_0$, and assume inductively that the claim holds for any $n \leq m(v) - 1$. Since $J[2^{m(v)}]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/2^{m(v)}\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank 4, N_v may be viewed as a $\mathbb{Z}/2^{m(v)}\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank ≤ 4. By Lemma 3.2, the order of N_v is 2^{2n}, which forces its rank to be ≥ 2. If the rank of N_v were equal to 4, N_v would contain $J[2]$, so the rank of N_v is 2 or 3. If the rank is 2, then the condition on the order of N_v forces $N_v \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2^{m(v)}\mathbb{Z})^2$. In this case, let N' be the (unique) submodule of N_v isomoprhic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2^{m(v)-1}\mathbb{Z})^2$. Otherwise, N_v is of rank 3. In this case, N_v must contain an element of order $2^{m(v)}$; otherwise $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in J[2]$ and all $y \in N_v$, and by maximality, $N_v \supset J[2]$. Let y be an element in N_v of order $2^{m(v)}$. Then $N_v \cong y \cdot \mathbb{Z}/2^{m(v)}\mathbb{Z} \oplus M$, where M is a submodule of rank 2 and order $2^{m(v)}$. Let x be an element of maximal order in M, and let N' be the submodule of N_v generated by $2x$ and $2y$. In either case $N' < J[2^{m(v)-1}]$, and Lemma 3.4 implies that N' is Weil isotropic in $J[2^{m(v)-1}]$. Moreover, in either case, N' has order $2^{2(m(v)-1)}$, so Lemma 3.2 implies that N' is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2^{m(v)-1}]$ and represents a vertex $v' \in |S|$. Since in either case, $N' < N_v$ and $N_v/N' \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, v' is connected to v by an edge. Then by the inductive assumption, there is a path from v' to v_0, and so there is also a path from v to v_0. Thus, S is connected.

We now prove that S is 15-regular. First of all, Lemma 3.3 implies that there are exactly 15 maximal Weil isotropic subgroups of $J[2]$, which implies that there are exactly 15 vertices adjacent to v_0. Now let v be any vertex different from v_0, and let N_v be the corresponding maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2^{m(v)}]$ not containing $J[2]$. Lemmas 3.2 and 3.4 imply that a subgroup $N' < J[2^{m(v)+1}]$ containing N_v is maximal Weil isotropic if and only if N'/N_v is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $(J/N_v)[2]$. Since Lemma 3.3 implies that there are exactly 15 maximal Weil isotropic subgroups of $(J/N_v)[2]$, it follows that there

are exactly 15 maximal Weil isotropic subgroups of $J[2^{m(v)+1}]$ which contain N_v.

It will now suffice to produce a bijection between the vertices adjacent to v and the 15 maximal Weil isotropic subgroups of $J[2^{m(v)+1}]$ containing N_v. Let Λ_v be the free rank-4 $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattice in $V_2(J)$ corresponding to v via Proposition 3.1(a). Every vertex of S is represented uniquely by a lattice which contains Λ_v but not $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_v$, by an argument similar to that used in the proof of Proposition 3.1(a). Suppose that v' is a vertex adjacent to v, and that Λ' and Λ are lattices representing v' and v respectively such that one lattice is contained in the other with quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then, after possibly replacing Λ' with $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda'$ and then possibly multiplying both lattices by a suitable scalar, one can assume that $\Lambda = \Lambda_v$ and $\Lambda' > \Lambda_v$ with $\Lambda'/\Lambda_v \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The latter condition implies that Λ' does not contain $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_v$. Thus, each vertex v' adjacent to v is uniquely represented by a lattice $\Lambda' > \Lambda_v$ with $\Lambda'/\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Now as in the proof of Proposition 3.1(b), N_v is the subgroup of $J[2^\infty]$ not containing $J[2]$ corresponding to Λ_v, and $N_v \cong \Lambda_v/\Lambda_0$. For any such lattice Λ', clearly $2^{m(v)+1}\Lambda' < \Lambda_0$, so we may identify $\Lambda'/\Lambda_0 \cong 2^{m(v)+1}\Lambda'/2^{m(v)+1}\Lambda_0$ with a subgroup of $\Lambda_0/2^{m(v)+1}\Lambda_0$, which is naturally identified with $J[2^{m(v)+1}]$. Thus, we may identify $N' := \Lambda'/\Lambda_0$ with a subgroup of $J[2^{m(v)+1}]$. Let $m(v')$ be the minimal positive integer such that $2^{m(v')}$ kills Λ'/Λ_0; clearly, $m(v') \leq m(v)+1$. Then $N_{v'} := 2^{m(v')}\Lambda'/2^{m(v')}\Lambda_0 < \Lambda_0/2^{m(v')}\Lambda_0$ is the subgroup of $J[2^{m(v')}]$ associated to Λ' in the proof of Proposition 3.1(b). By the definition of S, $N_{v'}$ is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2^{m(v')}]$. But clearly $N_{v'} = 2^{m(v)+1-m(v')}N'$, so N' is Weil isotropic. By checking the order of N' and applying Lemma 3.2, we verify that N' is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2^{m(v)+1}]$; moreover, $\Lambda' > \Lambda_v$ implies $N' > N_v$.

Now assume conversely that N' is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2^{m(v)+1}]$. Then, by the construction used in the proof of Proposition 3.2(b), N' corresponds to a lattice Λ' containing Λ_0 but not $\frac{1}{2}\Lambda_0$, and $\Lambda' > \Lambda_v$ with $\Lambda'/\Lambda_v \cong N'/N_v$. Since N' has order $2^{2(m(v)+1)}$ and N_v has order $2^{2m(v)}$, the quotient N'/N_v has order 4. As was shown above, N_v has an element of order $2^{m(v)}$, so the elements of N'/N_v must all have order dividing 2. It follows that $\Lambda'/\Lambda_v \cong N'/N_v \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then by the definition of S, the vertex $v' \in |S|$ represented by Λ' is adjacent to v, and we are done.

□

Instead of working with S, we want to work with a graph that has nicer properties (for instance, S is not simply connected). Since S is connected and 15-regular with basepoint v_0, it has a universal covering graph which is a 15-regular tree, and we may identify it with T from §1. Thus, each vertex $w \in |T|$ corresponds to a non-backtracking path in S beginning at v_0, which we write as a sequence of vertices $\{v_0, v_1, ..., v_n\}$ with each $v_i \in |S|$ and v_{i-1} and v_i adjacent for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We designate $w_0 := \{v_0\}$ as the root of the tree T.

Proposition 3.6. *For any $v \in |S|$, let N_v be the maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2^m]$ for some m not containing $J[2]$ which uniquely corresponds to v*

as in the definition of S. For any $w = \{v_0, ..., v_n\} \in |T|$, let $m(w)$ be the unique integer such that $N_{v_n} < J[2^{m(w)}]$ is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup. Then,

a) $m(v) \leq n$ and $n - m(v)$ is even.

Define $N_w = 2^{(m(v)-n)/2} N_{v_n}$. Then the assignment $w \mapsto N_w$ has the following properties.

b) If $w \in |T|_n$, then N_w is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2^n]$; and

c) If $w \in |T|_n$ for $n \geq 1$, and $\tilde{w} \in |T|_{n-1}$ is its parent vertex, then $N_w > N_{\tilde{w}}$ and $N_w/N_{\tilde{w}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. If $w \in |T|_1$, then $w = \{v_0, v_1\}$ and N_{v_1} is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2]$, so $m(w) = 1$ and parts (a) and (c) are clear. Thus, all the claims are proven for $n = 1$ (note that (a) is trivially true for $n = 0$). Choose $n \geq 2$, and assume inductively that all the claims are true for $n - 1$. Choose $w = \{v_0, v_1, ..., v_n\} \in |T|_n$; then we may apply the inductive assumptions to $\tilde{w} = \{v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}\} \in |T|_{n-1}$.

It was shown in the proof of Proposition 3.5 that $m(w) = m(\tilde{w})+1$ or $m(w) = m(\tilde{w}) - 1$. In the first case, $n - m(w) = (n - 1) - m(\tilde{w})$, which is nonnegative and even, so $n - m(w)$ is nonnegative and even, which is the claim of part (a). Moreover, as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, $N_{v_n} > N_{v_{n-1}}$ with $N_{v_n}/N_{v_{n-1}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. But $N_w = 2^{(m(w)-n)/2} N_{v_n}$ and $N_{\tilde{w}} = 2^{(m(w)-n)/2} N_{v_{n-1}}$, and it follows immediately that $N_w > N_{\tilde{w}}$ with $N_w/N_{\tilde{w}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which is the claim of part (c). Now in the second case that $m(w) = m(\tilde{w}) - 1$, $n - m(w) = (n - 1) - m(\tilde{w}) + 2$. Since $(n - 1) - m(\tilde{w})$ is nonnegative and even, so is $n - m(w)$, which is the claim of part (a). Moreover, as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, $N_{v_n} < N_{v_{n-1}}$ and $N_{v_{n-1}}/N_{v_n} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Then $N_{v_n} > 2N_{v_{n-1}}$ with $N_{v_n}/2N_{v_{n-1}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. But $N_w = 2^{(m(w)-n)/2} N_{v_n}$ and $N_{\tilde{w}} = 2^{(m(w)-n)/2} \cdot 2N_{v_{n-1}}$, and it follows immediately again that $N_w > N_{\tilde{w}}$ with $N_w/N_{\tilde{w}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which is the claim of part (c). Thus, (a) and (c) are proven.

Let $P, Q \in N_w$. Then $2^{n-m(w)}P, 2^{n-m(w)}Q \in N_{v_n}$ and thus, $\bar{e}_2^{(n)}(P, Q) = \bar{e}_2^{(m(w))}(2^{n-m(w)}P, 2^{n-m(w)}Q) = 1$. Thus, N_w is a Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2^n]$. Since $N_{v_n} < J[2^{m(w)}]$ is maximal Weil isotropic, by Lemma 3.2, $|N_{v_n}| = 2^{2m(w)}$. Then

$$|N_w| = |N_{v_n}| \cdot |J[2^{n-m(w)/2}]| = 2^{2m(w)} \cdot 2^{2(n-m(w))} = 2^{2n}. \tag{6}$$

Now Lemma 3.2 implies that N_w is maximal Weil isotropic in $J[2^n]$, thus proving part (b).

□

Remark 3.7. Note that for general $w, w' \in |T|$, N_w may contain $J[2]$, and that $N_w = N_{w'}$ does not imply that $w = w'$.

4 Proof of main result

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.4. We retain the notations of previous sections. In particular, C is a smooth, projective model of the affine

hyperelliptic curve given by (1), which is defined over K, and J is its Jacobian. We also define the tree T as in §3, and for each $w \in |T|_n$, we define the maximal Weil isotropic subgroup $N_w < J[2^n]$ as in Proposition 3.6.

We now assign to each $w \in |T|_n$ a Jacobian surface J_w and an isogeny $\phi_w : J \to J_w$ whose kernel is N_{v_n}, which we will later show is defined over $K(N_{v_n})$.

Set $J_{w_0} := J$, and let $\phi_{w_0} : J \to J_{w_0}$ be the identity isogeny.

For any $w \in |T|_1$, let C_w (resp. J_w) be the Richelot isogenous curve (resp. Jacobian) corresponding to N_w as in Theorem 2.2, and let $\phi_w : J \to J_w$ be the corresponding Richelot isogeny. Note that, as in §3, the maximal Weil isotropic subgroup $N_w < J[2]$ determines a permutation equivalence class $R_w \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ with $|R_w| = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5 \cup \{\infty\}$, and that $|\mathrm{Ri}(R_w)|$ is the set of x-coordinates of branch points of C_w. Moreover, ϕ_w and J_w are defined over $K(R_w)$.

Now choose $w \in |T|_n$ for some $n \geq 1$, and assume inductively that a curve C_w whose Jacobian is J_w, as well as an isogeny $\phi_w : J \to J_w$ whose kernel is N_w, have been defined. Assume further that we have defined a curve $C_{\tilde{w}}$ whose Jacobian is $J_{\tilde{w}}$ and an isogeny $\phi_{\tilde{w}} : J \to J_{\tilde{w}}$ whose kernel is $N_{\tilde{w}}$. Moreover, assume that we have assigned an element $R_w \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $|R_w|$ is the set of x-coordinates of branch points of $C_{\tilde{w}}$. Let $u \in |T|$ with $w = \tilde{u}$. Then there is a corresponding maximal Weil isotropic subgroup $N_u < J[2^{n+1}]$ containing N_w. So $\phi_w(N_u)$ is a maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J_w[2]$, which again determines a permutation equivalence class $R_u \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ such that $|R_u|$ is the set of x-coordinates of branch points of C_w. Thus, $|R_u| = |\mathrm{Ri}(R_w)|$. Now let C_u (resp. J_u) be the Richelot isogenous curve (resp. Jacobian) and $\psi_u : J_w \to J_u$ be the Richelot isogeny associated to the maximal Weil isotropic subgroup $\phi_w(N_u) < J_w[2]$ as in Theorem 2.2. Then $\phi_u := \psi_u \circ \phi_w : J \to J_u$ is an isogeny whose kernel is N_u. Since the parent of every vertex in $|T|_{n+1}$ is a vertex in $|T|_n$, it follows that through the method described above, we have defined the desired C_w, J_w and ϕ_w for all $w \in |T|_{n+1}$.

In this way, C_w, J_w, ϕ_w, and $R_w \in \mathcal{R}$ are defined for all $w \in |T|_{\geq 1}$. Furthermore, for all $w \in |T|$, we define K_w to be the extension of K obtained by adjoining the coefficients of the Weierstrass equation of C_w given above.

Lemma 4.1. *Using the above notation, define $\Psi : |T|_{\geq 1} \to \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ by setting $\Psi(w) = R_w$ for $w \in |T|_{\geq 1}$. Then Ψ is a decoration on T.*

Proof. We have to show that the conditions in Definition 1.1 are fulfilled. First, let $w, w' \in |T|_n$ for some $n \geq 1$, and assume they have the same parent vertex. So there are maximal Weil isotropic subgroups $N_w, N_{w'} < J[2^n]$, $N_{\tilde{w}} < J[2^{n-1}]$, such that $N_w, N_{w'} > N_{\tilde{w}}$. Then $\phi_{\tilde{w}}(N_w)$ and $\phi_{\tilde{w}}(N_{w'})$ are distinct maximal Weil isotropic subgroups of $J_{\tilde{w}}[2]$, and it follows that the associated elements $\Psi(w) = R_w, \Psi(w') = R_{w'} \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ must be distinct, thus satisfying part (a).

It is clear from the construction of R_w for $w \in |T|_1$ that part (b) is satisfied.

Finally, let $u = \{v_0, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n, v_{n+1}\} \in |T|_{n+1}$ and $w = \tilde{u} = \{v_0, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n\} \in |T|_n$. Then by the above construction, $\phi_w = \psi_w \circ \phi_{\tilde{w}}$, where $\psi_w : J_{\tilde{w}} \to J_w$ is the Richelot isogeny associated to the maximal Weil isotropic subgroup $\phi_{\tilde{w}}(N_w) < J_w[2]$. Now suppose that $\Psi(u) = R_u$ coincides with $\mathrm{Ri}(\Psi(w)) =$

$\mathrm{Ri}(R_w)$. Then Theorem 2.2(b) says that $\phi_w(N_u)$ is the image of $J_{\tilde{w}}[2]$ under ψ_w. It follows that $N_{\tilde{w}} = 2N_u$. Then by the construction in Proposition 3.6, $N_{v_{n-1}} = N_{v_{n+1}}$, so $v_{n+1} = v_{n-1}$, which contradicts the fact that the path $u = \{v_0, ..., v_{n-1}, v_n, v_{n+1}\} \in |T|$ is non-backtracking. Thus, $\Psi(u) \neq \mathrm{Ri}(\Psi(w))$, and part (c) is satisfied.

□

Definition 4.2. *For any integer $n \geq 0$, define the extension K'_n of K to be the compositum of the fields K_w for all $w \in |T|_{\geq 1}$. Define the extension K'_∞ of $\bar{K}$ to be the infinite compositum*

$$K'_\infty := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} K'_n.$$

In this way, we obtain a tower of field extensions

$$K = K'_0 \subset K'_1 \subset K'_2 \subset ... \subset K'_n \subset ..., \tag{7}$$

with $K'_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} K'_n$.

Lemma 4.3. *For any $w \in |T|_n$, let $\{w_0, w_1, ..., w_n\}$ be the sequence of vertices in the path of length n from w_0 to w. Let $\tilde{K}_w$ denote the compositum of the fields K_w for all $w \in \{w_0, w_1, ..., w_n\}$. Then*

$$\tilde{K}_w = K(\{R_w\}_{w \in \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\}}).$$

Proof. This is trivial for $n = 0$. Now assume inductively that the statement holds for some $n \geq 0$ and all $w \in |T|_n$. Choose any $w \in |T|_{n+1}$. We may apply the inductive assumption to $\tilde{w}$, since $\tilde{w} \in |T|_n$. One checks from the form of (3) that the curve C_w, and hence also its Jacobian J_w, are defined over $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{w}}(R_w)$.

It will now suffice to show that any field over which J_w is defined must contain $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{w}}(R_w)$, and it will follow that $\tilde{K}_w = \tilde{K}_{\tilde{w}}(R_w)$. To do this, recall that $\phi_w : J \to J_w$ is the composition of $\phi_{\tilde{w}} : J \to J_{\tilde{w}}$ with a Richelot isogeny $\psi_w : J_{\tilde{w}} \to J_w$ whose kernel is the maximal Weil isotropic subgroup $N := \phi_{\tilde{w}}(N_w) < J_{\tilde{w}}[2]$. Let σ be an automorphism of the field $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{w}}(J_{\tilde{w}}[2])$ fixing $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{w}}$, and suppose that σ fixes ψ_w and J_w. Since $\psi_w^\sigma : J_{\tilde{w}} \to J_w^\sigma$ is an isogeny whose kernel is N^σ, it follows that σ stabilizes N as well. Therefore, any field over which ψ_w and J_w are defined must contain the subfield $L \subset \tilde{K}_{\tilde{w}}(J_{\tilde{w}}[2])$ fixed by all such automorphisms σ which stabilize N. Recall that the 4 elements of N are represented by divisors of the form $e_\varnothing, e_{R_1}, e_{R_2}, e_{R_3} \in \mathrm{Div}^0(J_{\tilde{w}})$, where $R_w = [(R_1, R_2, R_3)]$. Thus, L is the field fixed by all automorphisms σ which fix (R_1, R_2, R_3). It is easy to check from the construction of $M : \mathcal{R} \to M_3(\bar{K})$ that this field is generated by the entries of $M(R_w)$, and so $L = \tilde{K}_{\tilde{w}}(R_w)$, as desired.

□

Proposition 4.4. *a) For any $n \geq 1$, $K'_n = K(\{\Psi(w)\}_{w \in |T|_{\leq n} \setminus \{w_0\}})$ for any decoration Ψ on T.*

b) As in the statement of Theorem 1.4, $K'_\infty = K(\{\Psi(w)\}_{w\in|T|_{\geq 1}})$ for any decoration Ψ on T.

(In particular, the extensions $K(\{\Psi(w)\}_{w\in|T|_{\leq n}\setminus\{w_0\}})$ and $K(\{\Psi(w)\}_{w\in|T|_{\geq 1}})$ do not depend on the choice of decoration Ψ.)

Proof. It follows directly from the definition of K'_n and the statement of Lemma 4.3 that

$$K'_n = K(\{R_w\})_{w\in|T|_{\leq n}\setminus\{w_0\}}), \tag{8}$$

from which it follows that

$$K'_\infty = K(\{R_w\}_{w\in|T|\setminus\{w_0\}}). \tag{9}$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that for any decoration Ψ, $K(\{\Psi(w)\}_{w\in|T|_n\setminus\{w_0\}}) = K(\{R_w\}_{w\in|T|_n\setminus\{w_0\}})$. Choose any decoration Ψ. By Definition 1.1 parts (a) and (b), the 15 elements $\Psi(v)$ for $v \in |T|_1$ are representatives of all 15 permutation equivalence classes of elements $R \in \mathcal{R}$ such that $|R| = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5 \cup \{\infty\}$. It follows that there is a permutation σ on $|T|_1$ such that for each $v \in |T|_1$, $\Psi(v) = R_{\sigma(v)}$. Now assume inductively that for some $n \geq 1$, there is a permutation σ on $|T|_{\leq n}$ which preserves distances between vertices (in particular, it acts on each $|T|_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$), such that $\Psi(w) = R_{\sigma(w)}$ for all $w \in |T|_{\leq n}$. Now choose any $w \in |T|_n$. By Definition 1.1 parts (a) and (c), the 14 elements $\Psi(u)$ for any u such that $w = \tilde{u}$ coincide with the 14 elements $R \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ such that $|R| = |\mathrm{Ri}(R_w)|$. It follows from the inductive assumption that for each such u, there is a unique u' whose parent is $\sigma(w)$ such that $R_w = \Psi(u')$. Extend σ to be a permutation on $|T|_{n+1}$ by assigning $\sigma(u) = u'$. Since every vertex in $|T|_{n+1}$ has its parent in $|T|_n$, it is clear that σ is defined on $|T|_{n+1}$; moreover, one can easily check that σ is still a permutation which preserves distances between vertices. Thus, we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} K(\{\Psi(w)\}_{w\in|T|_{\leq n+1}\setminus\{v_0\}}) &= K(\{R_{\sigma(w)})\}_{w\in|T|_{\leq n+1}}\setminus\{w_0\}) \\ &= K(\{R_w\}_{w\in|T|_{\leq n+1}}\setminus\{w_0\}), \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and we are done.

□

Proposition 4.5. *With the above notation,*

a) the isogeny ϕ_w is defined over $K(N_w)$, and $K_w \subseteq K(N_w)$,

b) for all $n \geq 0$, $K'_n \subseteq K_n$, and equality holds for $n = 0, 1$.

Proof. First of all, we have shown in the proof of 4.3 that ϕ_w is defined over $K(N_w)$ for $w \in |T|_1$, and that in this case, $K_w = \tilde{K}_w$ is the subfield of K_1 fixed by all automorphisms $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_1/K)$ which stabilize N_w. It follows that $K_w \subseteq K(N_w)$, and part (a) is proven in the case that $n = 1$. Now by Proposition 4.4(a), $K'_1 = K(\{R_w\}_{w\in|T|_1})$. The fact that this is contained in $K(\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^6) = K_1$ follows immediately from the fact that $|R_w| = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^6$ for each $w \in |T|_1$. Since K'_1 is the compositum of all such subfields $K(R_w)$, and each $K(R_w)$ is the subfield of K_1 fixed by all automorphisms $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_1/K)$

which stabilize N_w, it follows that K_1' is the subfield of K_1 fixed by the elements of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_1/K)$ which stabilize all maximal Weil isotropic subgroups $N_w < J[2]$. But the only such Galois element is the identity, so $K_1' = K_1$. This proves equality in the $n = 1$ case of the statement of part (b) (the equality in the $n = 0$ case is trivial). Thus, all claims are proven for $n = 1$.

Now assume inductively that for some $n \geq 1$ and all $w \in |T|_n$, ϕ_w is defined over $K(N_w)$ and $K_w \subseteq K(N_w)$. Choose any $w \in |T|_{n+1}$. We may apply the inductive assumption to $\tilde{w}$, since $\tilde{w} \in |T|_n$. Since N_w is defined over $K(N_w)$ and $\phi_{\tilde{w}}$ is defined over $K(N_{\tilde{w}}) \subseteq K(N_w)$, it follows that $\phi_{\tilde{w}}(N_w)$ is defined over $K(N_w)$. Now the Richelot isogeny $\psi_w : J_{\tilde{w}} \to J_w$ is defined over $K_{\tilde{w}}(\phi_{\tilde{w}}(N_w)) \subseteq K(N_w)$ by Theorem 2.2(a), so $\phi_w = \psi_w \circ \phi_{\tilde{w}}$ is defined over $K(N_w)$. Moreover, since J_w is the image of $J_{\tilde{w}}$ under ψ_w, J_w is defined over $K(N_w)$. Since K_w is the extension of K over which C_w (and hence also J_w) are defined, it follows that $K_w \subseteq K(N_w)$, thus proving part (a).

Now part (a) and the fact that K_n' is the compositum of the fields K_w for all $w \in |T|_{\leq n} \backslash \{w_0\}$ imply that K_n' is contained in the compositum of the extensions $K(N_w)$ for all $w \in |T|_{\leq n} \backslash \{w_0\}$. Since $\{N_w\}_{w \in |T|_{\leq n}}$ clearly generates $J[2^n]$, this compositum is K_n. Thus, $K_n' \subseteq K_n$, which is the statement of (b).

□

For any Galois element $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ and any element $R = [(R_1, R_2, R_3)] \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$, we define $R^\sigma = [(R_1^\sigma, R_2^\sigma, R_3^\sigma)] \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ by letting R_i^σ be the cardinality-2 set obtained by letting σ act on the elements of R_i, for $i = 1, 2, 3$ (with the convention that σ fixes ∞). It is clear that this action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ on $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ is well defined.

Next we want to determine how the absolute Galois group of K acts on the R_w's defined above. In order to describe this Galois action, we will adopt the following notation. Recall that $\rho_2 : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \to \mathrm{GSp}(T_2(J))$ is the continuous homomorphism induced by the natural Galois action on $T_2(J)$. Moreover, for each $n \geq 0$, let $\bar{\rho}_2^{(n)} : \mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K) \to \mathrm{GSp}(J[2^n])$ denote the homomorphism induced by the natural Galois action on $J[2^n]$. Meanwhile, the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(V_2(J))$ acts on the set of rank-4 $\mathbb{Z}_2$-lattices in $V_2(E)$ by left multiplication. The Galois equivariance of the Weil pairing implies that the image of ρ_2 in $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathbb{Q}_2}(V_2(J))$ acts on $|S|$. It is straightforward to check that this action preserves adjacency of the vertices. Thus, the Galois group acts on the set of non-backtracking paths starting at v_0 in S, and so it acts on the universal covering graph T. We denote this action $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \times |T| \to |T|$ by $(\sigma, w) \mapsto w^\sigma$. Similarly, the image of $\rho_2^{(n)}$ in $\mathrm{GSp}(J[2^n])$ acts on $|T|_{\leq n}$, since non-backtracking paths of length n in S correspond to series of subgroups of $J[2^n]$. Thus, the group $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K)$ acts on $|T|_{\leq n}$, and we denote this action $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K) \times |T|_{\leq n} \to |T|_{\leq n}$ by $(\sigma, w) \mapsto w^{\sigma|_{K_n}}$. Note that it follows from the construction of the map $w \mapsto N_w$ that $N_w^\sigma = N_{w^\sigma}$, and that if $w \in |T|_{\leq n}$, then $N_w < J[2^n]$ and $N_w^{\sigma|_{K_n}} = N_{w^{\sigma|_{K_n}}}$.

Lemma 4.6. *For any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ and $w \in |T|$, we have $R_w^\sigma = R_{w^\sigma}$ up to permutation equivalence. If $w \in |T|_{\leq n}$, then $R_w^{\sigma|_{K_n}} = R_{w^{\sigma|_{K_n}}}$.*

Proof. Choose any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. We will prove that $R_w^\sigma = R_{w^\sigma}$ for all $w \in |T|_n$ for each $n \geq 1$.

First, let $w \in |T|_1$. Then N_w is the maximal Weil isotropic subgroup of $J[2]$ corresponding to R_w; in other words, if $R_w = (R_1, R_2, R_3)$, then $N_w = \{e_\varnothing, e_{R_1}, e_{R_2}, e_{R_3}\}$, using the notation of §3. Note that $e_{R_i}^\sigma = e_{R_i^\sigma}$. So

$$N_{w^\sigma} = N_w^\sigma = \{e_\varnothing, e_{R_1^\sigma}, e_{R_2^\sigma}, e_{R_3^\sigma}\}, \tag{11}$$

and $R_w^\sigma = [(R_1^\sigma, R_2^\sigma, R_3^\sigma)]$ is the corresponding element of $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$. This proves the first statement for $n = 1$. Moreover, from the construction in Theorem 2.2, this implies that $C_{w^\sigma} = C_w^\sigma$, and so $J_{w^\sigma} = J_w^\sigma$. Moreover, one can check from the explicit definition of the Richelot isogeny in §3 that $\phi_{w^\sigma} = \phi_w^\sigma$.

Now choose $n \geq 2$ and assume inductively that for all $w \in |T|_{n-1}$, $R_{w^\sigma} = R_w^\sigma$, as well as the analogous statements for C_{w^σ}, J_{w^σ}, and ϕ_{w^σ}. Choose $w \in |T|_n$; then $\tilde{w} \in |T|_{n-1}$ and we may apply the inductive assumptions to $\tilde{w}$. Then we have

$$\phi_{\tilde{w}^\sigma}(N_{w^\sigma}) = \phi_{\tilde{w}}^\sigma(N_w^\sigma) = (\phi_{\tilde{w}}(N_w))^\sigma. \tag{12}$$

So ψ_{w^σ} is the Richelot isogeny corresponding to the maximal Weil isotropic subgroup $(\phi_{\tilde{w}}(N_w))^\sigma < J_{\tilde{w}}^\sigma[2]$. Then by a similar argument as was used in the $n = 1$ case, $R_{w^\sigma} = R_w^\sigma$. Again, from the construction in Theorem 2.2, this implies that $C_{w^\sigma} = C_w^\sigma$, and so $J_{w^\sigma} = J_w^\sigma$. Moreover, again one can check from the explicit definition of the Richelot isogeny in §3 that $\psi_{w^\sigma} = \psi_w^\sigma : J_{\tilde{w}}^\sigma \to J_w^\sigma$. Thus, $\phi_{w^\sigma} = \psi_{w^\sigma} \circ \phi_{\tilde{w}^\sigma} = \psi_w^\sigma \circ \phi_{\tilde{w}}^\sigma = \phi_w^\sigma$, as desired.

Now let $w \in |T|_{\geq n}$. Then R_w is fixed by all elements of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K_n)$, and one checks from the definitions that $w_\sigma = w_{\sigma|_{K_n}}$ for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Thus, the second statement follows from the first.

□

It is an immediate corollary of Proposition 4.5(b) that $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n'/K_1')$ is a quotient of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K_1)$ for all $n \geq 1$. The following key proposition characterizes the kernel $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K_n')$.

Proposition 4.7. *For all $n \geq 1$, the image of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K_n')$ under $\bar{\rho}_2^{(n)}$ coincides with the subgroup of scalar automorphisms in $\bar{G}^{(n)}$.*

Proof. Fix $n \geq 1$. Since $K_n' \supseteq K_1$ for each $n \geq 1$, we only need to consider the Galois subgroup $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K_1)$. Part (a) of Proposition 4.4, with the help of Lemma 4.1, implies that K_n' is generated over K_1 by the entries of the matrices $M(R_w)$ for all $w \in |T|_{\leq n} \setminus \{w_0\}$. Note that a Galois automorphism fixes all the entries of $M(R_w)$ if and only if it fixes R_w. Therefore, the elements of $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K_1)$ which fix K_n' are exactly those which fix all of the permutation equivalence classes $R_w \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ for all $w \in |T|_{\leq n} \setminus \{v_0\}$. Lemma 4.6 implies that, for any $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K_1)$, $R_w^{\sigma|_{K_n}} = R_{w^{\sigma|_{K_n}}}$, so the Galois automorphisms in $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K_1)$ which fix R_w for all $w \in |T|_{\leq n}$ are the ones sent by $\bar{\rho}_2^{(n)}$ to the elements of $\mathrm{GSp}(J[2^n])$ that fix all vertices in $|T|_{\leq n}$. Let $\zeta \in \mathrm{GSp}(J[2^n])$ be such an automorphism. Then all maximal Weil isotropic subgroups of $J[2^n]$ are

stable under ζ. Let P be an element of order 2^n in $J[2^n]$. Then ζ stabilizes the intersection of all maximal Weil isotropic subgroups of $J[2^n]$ which contain P, which is $\langle P \rangle$. So ζ takes P to an odd scalar multiple of P for all P of order 2^n in $J[2^n]$ (and hence for all $P \in J[2^n]$). But the endomorphisms of the $\mathbb{Z}/2^n\mathbb{Z}$-module $J[2^n]$ which take every element to an odd scalar multiple of itself are scalar automorphisms in $\mathrm{GSp}(J[2^n])$. Conversely, scalar automorphisms of G fix all vertices of T, and so $\bar{\rho}_2^{(n)}$ maps $\mathrm{Gal}(K_n/K'_n)$ onto the subgroup of scalar automorphisms in G.

□

From now on, for ease of notation, we set $a_{i,j} := \alpha_i - \alpha_j$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$. For each $a_{i,j}$, choose an element $\sqrt{a_{i,j}} \in \bar{K}$ whose square is $a_{i,j}$. Also, for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, we will write $r \in \mathrm{GSp}(T_2(J))$ (resp. $r \in \mathrm{GSp}(J[2^n])$ for some n) for the scalar endomorphism of $\mathrm{GSp}(T_2(J))$ (resp. $\mathrm{GSp}(J[2^n])$) which acts by multiplying each element by r (resp. r modulo 2^n). Recall that G contains the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(2) \lhd \mathrm{Sp}(T_2(J))$, and therefore, $\{\pm 1\} \lhd G \cap \mathrm{Sp}(T_2(J))$.

The following proposition, together with Proposition 4.4(b), gives essentially the statement of Theorem 1.4.

Proposition 4.8. *The subextension $K'_\infty(\mu_2) \supset K(\mu_2)$ corresponds to the subgroup $\{\pm 1\} \lhd G \cap \mathrm{Sp}(T_2(J)) \cong \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K(\mu_2))$. In fact,*

$$K_\infty = K'_\infty(\sqrt{a_{i,j}})(\mu_2), \tag{13}$$

for any $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$, and the Galois automorphism corresponding to -1 acts by sending $\sqrt{a_{i,j}}$ to $-\sqrt{a_{i,j}}$.

Proof. If we replace K with $K(\mu_2)$, it will suffice to assume that K contains all 2-power roots of unity and to prove that $K_\infty = K'_\infty(\sqrt{a_{i,j}})$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$, and that the Galois element corresponding to $-1 \in \mathrm{Sp}(T_2(J))$ acts as claimed.

Let σ be a Galois automorphism of K_∞ over K'_∞. By Proposition 4.7, $\bar{\rho}_2^{(n)}$ must be a scalar automorphism of $\mathrm{Sp}(J[2^n])$ for every n and therefore must be a scalar automorphism in $\mathrm{Sp}(T_2(J))$. But the only nonidentity scalar matrix in $\mathrm{Sp}(T_2(J))$ is -1. Conversely, Proposition 4.7 implies that $-1 \in \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K'_\infty)$, hence the first statement.

It immediately follows that K_∞ is generated over K'_∞ by any element of K_∞ which is not fixed by the Galois automorphism σ such that $\rho_2(\sigma) = -1 \in \mathrm{Sp}(T_2(J))$. Clearly, $\bar{\rho}_2^{(2)}(\sigma|_{K_2}) = -1 \in \Gamma(2)/\Gamma(4)$. But setting $n = 2$ in the statement of Proposition 4.7 implies that

$$\mathrm{Gal}(K_2/K'_2) \cong \{\pm 1\} \lhd \Gamma(2)/\Gamma(4), \tag{14}$$

so any element in $K_2 \backslash K'_2$ will not be fixed by -1. In order to find such an element, we proceed to compute generators for K'_2 over K. Choose $w \in |T|_1$ such that R_w is permutation equivalent to $\{\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}, \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4\}, \{\alpha_5, \infty\}\}$. A tedious but straightforward computation shows that $|\mathrm{Ri}(R_w)|$, which is the set

of Weierstrass roots of the degree-6 curve C_w, consists of the elements

$$\frac{-\alpha_1\alpha_2 + \alpha_3\alpha_4 \pm \sqrt{(\alpha_1-\alpha_3)(\alpha_1-\alpha_4)(\alpha_2-\alpha_3)(\alpha_2-\alpha_4)}}{-\alpha_1-\alpha_2+\alpha_3+\alpha_4},$$

$$\alpha_5 \pm \sqrt{(\alpha_1-\alpha_5)(\alpha_2-\alpha_5)}, \quad \alpha_5 \pm \sqrt{(\alpha_3-\alpha_5)(\alpha_4-\alpha_5)}.$$

It follows that $K_1(\{R_u\}_{u\in|T|_2, w=\tilde{u}})$ is the extension of K_1 obtained by adjoining the square root terms in the above expressions. By permuting the α_i's and using the fact that $K_1(\{R_u\}_{u\in|T|_2}) = K_2'$ by Proposition 4.4(a), we see that

$$K_2' = K_1(\{\sqrt{(a_{i,j}a_{l,m})}\}_{\{i,j\}\neq\{l,m\}}). \tag{15}$$

Choose i, j such that $1 \leq i < j \leq 5$. It follows from (15) that $\sqrt{a_{i,j}} \notin K_2'$, although $\sqrt{a_{i,j}} \in K_2$ by Proposition 2.4 of [6]. So $\sqrt{a_{i,j}} \in K_\infty \setminus K_\infty'$ and can be used to generate K_∞ over K_∞'. Since -1 does not fix $\sqrt{a_{i,j}}$ but does fix its square $a_{i,j} \in K_1 \subset K_\infty'$, it follows that -1 acts by sending $\sqrt{a_{i,j}}$ to $-\sqrt{a_{i,j}}$. □

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Yuri Zarhin for discussions that were extremely helpful in producing this work. The author would also like to thank Mihran Papikian for suggesting revisions that helped to improve the exposition.

References

[1] Peter R Bending. Curves of genus 2 with sqrt2 multiplication. *arXiv preprint math/9911273*, 1999.

[2] Eugene Victor Flynn and John William Scott Cassels. *Prolegomena to a middlebrow arithmetic of curves of genus 2*, volume 230. Cambridge University Press, 1996.

[3] James S Milne. Abelian varieties. In *Arithmetic geometry*, pages 103–150. Springer, 1986.

[4] David Mumford. Tata lectures on theta II: Jacobian theta functions and differential equations. *Progress in Mathematics*, 43, 1984.

[5] Benjamin Andrew Smith. Explicit endomorphisms and correspondences. Ph.D. thesis, The University of Sydney, 2005.

[6] Jeffrey Yelton. Images of 2-adic representations associated to hyperelliptic jacobians. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1410.2668*, 2014.